What do we even mean when we talk about "The Species Tree"? (lessons from mouse lemurs)



Anne D. Yoder Duke University

31 May 2025



Figure 6: Speciation Continuum of Focal Lineages. A) The radiation among *M. murinus* (SE), *M. ganzhorni*, and *M. manitatra* (Node 4) is estimated to coincide precisely with the Last Glacial Maximum at 26,000 years ago (illustrated with dashed line). B) Paleoclimatic temperatures for SE Madagascar based on simulations under the HadCM3 circulation model (84).

The one and only figure in Darwin's Origin of Species



Ancestor/Descendant Relationships Across Life on Earth

I have used the species tree for:

- Biogeography
- Phylogeograpy
- Species delimitation
- Divergence time analysis
- Speciation genetics/genomics
- Just for the hell of it ...

Biogeography

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO Image Landsat

Google earth

Madagascar = 0.0001 (0.01%) of Earth's surface



May 3, 2005 vol. 102 no. suppl 1





an island roughly the size of California



Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 93, pp. 5122–5126, May 1996 Evolution

Ancient single origin for Malagasy primates

(primate origins/cytochrome b/molecular evolution)

ANNE D. YODER*^{†‡}, MATT CARTMILL[§], MARYELLEN RUVOLO^{*}, KATHLEEN SMITH[§], AND RYTAS VILGALYS[¶]

Departments of *Anthropology and [†]Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; [§]Department of Biological Anthropology and Anatomy, Duke University Medical School, Durham, NC 27710; and [¶]Department of Botany, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708

1996



letters to nature

Single origin of Malagasy Carnivora from an African ancestor

Anne D. Yoder*†, Melissa M. Burns†, Sarah Zehr‡, Thomas Delefosse*, Geraldine Veron§, Steven M. Goodman†|| & John J. Flynn‡





www.nature.com/scientificreports

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN Snoozing through the storm: torpor use during a natural disaster

Received: 24 February 2015

Accepted: 14 May 2015

Julia Nowack, A. Daniella Rojas, Gerhard Körtner & Fritz Geiser







BIOLOGICAL REVIEWS

Cambridge Philosophical Society

Biol. Rev. (2023), pp. 000–000. doi: 10.1111/brv.12966

The colonisation of Madagascar by land-bound vertebrates

Jason R. Ali^{1,*} ^(D) and S. Blair Hedges²

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China ²Center for Biodiversity, Temple University, 1925 N 12th Street, Suite 502, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

ABSTRACT

2023



[Ali & Huber, 2023] show "that stochastic over-water dispersal through time best explains the observed profile, rather than passage along temporary causeways. This finding is congruent with the geological evidence that rejects the suggestion that Africa and Madagascar were connected by land bridges/stepping-stone chains in the Cenozoic."





Divergence Time Estimation

Sequence and align DNA data

Figure 7. Strategies and principles of the concatenation phylogeny method for constructing phylogenetic trees.



Model Selection



Concatenation Approach (overview)

Build the tree (ML or Bayesian, typically)



Figure 1. The general structure of a phylogenetic tree. The abbreviations in the figure are as follows: OTU, operational taxonomic unit; HTU, hypothetical taxonomic unit. All figures in this review were drawn by Yue Zou, using Microsoft PowerPoint 2010 and Adobe Illustrator 26.2.1.



Open Access Review

Common Methods for Phylogenetic Tree Construction and Their Implementation in R

by Yue Zou ^{1,†} \square , Zixuan Zhang ^{1,†} \square , Yujie Zeng ¹ \square , Hanyue Hu ¹ \square , Youjin Hao ¹ \square , Sheng Huang ^{2,*} \square and Bo Li ^{1,*} \square \square

- ¹ College of Life Sciences, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, China
- ² Animal Nutrition Institute, Chongqing Academy of Animal Science, Chongqing 402460, China
- * Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.
- [†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Bioengineering 2024, 11(5), 480; https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering11050480

The Molecular Clock



Divide branch length by time, and get rate 0.1/10 = 0.01 substitutions/site/my

The Molecular Clock



0.1/10 = 0.01 substitutions/site/my

The Molecular Clock



Divide branch length by rate, and get time 0.02/0.01 = 2 my

ALL KINDS OF ASSUMPTIONS!!!

- Rates of evolution are constant (there's a "molecular clock")
- Your "species tree" is correct
- Your model assumptions are correct
- You have placed the fossil in the correct part of the tree
- The age of the fossil is correct
- Shall I go on????

Syst. Biol. 67(4):594–615, 2018 © The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press, on behalf of the Society of Systematic Biologists. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com DOI:10.1093/sysbio/syy001 Advance Access publication January 12, 2018

Using Phylogenomic Data to Explore the Effects of Relaxed Clocks and Calibration Strategies on Divergence Time Estimation: Primates as a Test Case

Mario dos Reis^{1,2,*}, Gregg F. Gunnell^{3,†}, Jose Barba-Montoya², Alex Wilkins^{3,4}, Ziheng Yang², and Anne D. Yoder⁵

¹School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK;
²Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK;
³Division of Fossil Primates, Duke University Lemur Center, Durham, 1013 Broad Street, NC 27705, USA;
⁴Department of Anthropology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA; and
⁵Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

⁺Deceased.

*Correspondence to be sent to: School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, UK; Email: m.dosreisbarros@qmul.ac.uk.

> Received 25 March 2017; reviews returned 26 December 2017; accepted 5 January 2018 Associate Editor: Simon Ho

2018

CONCATENATION!!!!!



No fossil record!!!







My Addiction Story

January 27, 1997



Joerg Ganzhorn

Steve Goodman



Dear Anne,

For the past year or two Rodin, a student that works closely with Joerg Ganzhorn, has been collecting Microcebus in western Madagascar for a morphological study. He has done very well and the specimens seem to include four or five types (myoxinus, murinus, a rufous murinus type that is not myoxinus [too big], and two that are probably undescribed). He has religuously collected tissues ... [more details]

Cheers for now, Steve



Rodin Rasoloarison

Mouse lemurs are morphologically cryptic and very difficult to study!

- World's smallest primates (60 – 80 grams)
- Nocturnal
- Many do NOT like Sherman traps



1973 – 1993: two species taxonomy

Microcebus murinus (grey mouse lemur); dry deciduous habitat; distributed throughout the west. *Microcebus rufus* (roufus mouse lemur); wet forest habitat; distributed throughout the east.

1999: D-loop sequences - barely alignable!

	D-loopGDE.nxs														
Project of "D-It III Character Matrix															
Project	t « Matrix Alter Select Display Analysis:Matrix » Window Character Mat														
D-loopGDE.nxs			42943043143243	3 4 3 4 3 4 3 6 4 3 4 3 8 4 3 9 4 4 0	14 14 214 14 44 44 44	14,44844945(45,45,	45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 8	45946046946946946446	46646746846947047.4	7.47.4747947647.4	7847948048,48,48,48,48	1854864874884854904974	149 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 50	\$\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	508
Add		Taxon \ Character													
	Ĭ	11 #RMR111	g t a c a					t t	agcgca	t ca a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	z - c a t g a c	t
Taxa (49 taxa)	205	12 #RMR110	g t a c a					<mark>- t</mark> t	agcgca	t ca a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	catgac	t
Character Matri	302	13 #RMR106	g t a c a					t t	agcgca	t ca a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	catgac	t
	岸	14 #RMR123	gtaca					<mark>t c</mark>	agcgca	- t c a - a -	gtcccga	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	catgg c	t
		15 #RMR119	g t a c a					t c	a g c g c a	t ca a	gtcccga	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	catgge	t
		16 #RMR115	gtaca					t c	a g c g c a	tcaa	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	catgg c	t
	3	17 #RMR128	g t a c a						a g c g c a	t c a a	g c c c g a	a a a g t c	ccagtcad	catgg c	
	1	18 #RMR122	g t a c a						a g c g c a	t c a a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	ccagtcad	catgg c	1.00
	-	19 #RMR124	gtaca							t c a a	g c c c c g a		ccagtcae		
	0	20 #RMR110													
5	ß	21 #RMR110													
	-	22 #RMR130													
		24 #RMR117													
		25 #RMR125	a t a c a												
	.	26 #RMR126	g t a c a							tcala		a a a g t c -			t.
		27 #RMR121	o t a c a					t c		tcaa	atccca	aaaqtc	ccautcau	- catoar	t
	~	28 #RMR129	g t a c a					t c	aqcqca	t c a a	qtcccqa	a a a q t c	c c a q t c a d	catgar	t
	*	29 #RMR120	g t a c a					t t	aqcqca	tcaa	q t c c c q a	a a a g t c	c c a q c c a d	catgar	t
		30 #RMR145	gtaca	i - tagtac	att cat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta a	gtcccga	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	t - catgar	t
	÷.	31 #RMR143	g t a c a	i tagtac	att cat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c -	c c a g t c a d	t - catgaf	t
		32 #RMR144	g t a c a	I - tagtac	att cat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta-a-	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	t - catgat	t
		33 #RMR147	g t a c a	I - tagtac	att cat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta-a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	t - catgat	t
	<i></i>	34 #RMR142	g t a c a	ı – tagtac	att cat	a a c a t t	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	- t t a - a -	g t c c c g a	g a a g t c -	c c a g t c a d	t - catgae	t
	#	35 #RMR99	gtaca	i - tagtac	atttat	aatatt	a - a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	tta-a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	t - catga (t
	40	36 #RMR95	g t a c a	ı – tagtac	atttat	a a tatt	a - a t c g	t - a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta-a	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c -	c c a g t c a d	e - catgae	t
		37 #RMR96	g t a c a	ı – tagtac	atttat	a atatt	a - a t c g	t - a catt	agcgca	-tta-a	gtcccga	aaagt <mark>c</mark> -	c c a g t c a d	- catgaq	t
		38 #RMR97	g t a c a	ı – tagtac	a t t t a t	a a t a t t	a - a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	- tta - a -	g t c c c g a	a a a g t c -	c c a g t c a o	- catgac	t
	E	39 #RMR136	g t a c a	i - tagtac	attaat	c t c a c c	g at c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	t caaa	atcctga	a a a <mark>g t c</mark>	c c a g t c a d	E - catgac	t
		40 #RMR138	g t a c a	ı - tagtac	attaat	ctcacc	g – a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	- t c a - a .	at cctga	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	e catgac	t
	\$	41 #RMR131	g t a c a	t - t g g c a c	attaat	at catt	g – a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	- t c a - a .	atcctga	a a a g t c	c c a g t c a d	- catgac	t
		42 #RMR159	g t a c a	i - tagtac	atttat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	- tta-a	gtcacga	taagtc-	c t t g c c a d	catgac	t
		43 #RMR156	gtaca	i - tagtac	atttat	aatatt	g - a t c g	t - a c a t t	agcgca	tta-a	gtcacga	taagtc-	c t t g c c a d	catgac	t
		44 #RMR154	g t a c a	i - tagtac	atttat	aatatt	g at c g	t a c a t t	agcgca	tta a	gtcacga	taagtc-	c t t g c c a (catgac	t
5		45 #RMR160	gtaca	tagtac	atttat	aatatt	g at c g	t a c a t t	a g c g c a	tta a	g t c a c g a	taagtc	cttgcca (catga c	t
1		46 #RMR161	g t a c a	tagtac	atttat	aatatt	g at c g	t a catt	a g c g c a	t t a a	g t c a c g a	taagtc-	cttgcca (- catgac	
		47 #RMR157	g t a c a		attgt	aatatt	9 a t ć 9	t a catt	agcgca	t ta a	g t c a c g a	taagtc-	cttgccad	catga c	
1		48 #RMR163	gtaca					t t	agcgca	t t a a	g t c c c g a		c c c g c c a c	catga c	
		49 #KMK105								a a	u cicicidia		C Q C C A C	Catqa C	
Q		t.29 c.468 s.c] Characte	er 468; Sequence site	419 [in taxon "#RMR12	:0"]										1
Phylogeography



Mouse lemurs (Microcebus spp.)

Distributed across all forest habitats of Madagascar







M. gerpi Radespiel *et al.*

2012



M. jollyae © E. Louis, Jı

Slide courtesy of Tobias van Elst

Stiftung





So this really made sense!

Remarkable species diversity in Malagasy mouse lemurs (primates, *Microcebus*)

Anne D. Yoder^{*†‡}, Rodin M. Rasoloarison[§], Steven M. Goodman^{†¶}, Jodi A. Irwin^{*||}, Sylvia Atsalis^{*}, Matthew J. Ravosa^{*†}, and Jörg U. Ganzhorn^{**}



 Phylogeographic patterns don't fit previous east v. west assumptions

Yoder et al. (2000), PNAS



Two decades+ later: recognized species diversity has gone from two species to ~ 25 species Evolutionary Anthropology 16:12–23 (2007)

Madagascar's Lemurs: Cryptic Diversity or Taxonomic Inflation?

IAN TATTERSALL

We live in inflationary times. A quarter of a century ago, cigarettes were about \$1 a pack in New York City, a bottle of Château Beaucastel set you back \$15, and there were 36 different species of lemur alive in Madagascar¹ (Table 1). Today the equivalent figures are \$7.40, \$95, and 83 lemur species² (Table 1).

Species Delimitation





M. sp. – Montagne d'Ambre from Montagne d'Ambre (M. sp. – Montagne d'Ambre from Montagne d'Ambre M. sp. – Montagne d'Ambre from Montagne d'Ambw, sp. – Montagne d'Ambre from Ambanja

M. mittermeieri from Anjanaharibe Sud M. myoxinus from Andranomanitsy M. myoxinus from Andranomanitsy M. myoxinus from Andranomanitsy, ravelobensis from Ankarafantsika

C. crossleyi from Ankafobe (Mlehi (4)

M. myoxinus from Aboalimena M. myoxinus from Aboalimena M. myoxinus from Andranomena M. murinus from Andranomena M. murinus from Manafriov M. rufus from Andrambovato (Mruf (3) M. rufus from Andram

M. griseorufus from Vombositse (M. griseorufus from Vombositse

M. griseorufus from Tsimanampetsotsa, griseorufus from Vohondava M. griseorufus from Tongaenoro M. griseorufus from Mahavelo



Motivating Questions:

- Which of the 25 named species are "good"?
- What are their phylogenetic relationships?
- What has driven their diversification?
- What is maintaining species/phylogenetic boundaries?
- What explains morphological stasis in the face of genetic diversity? (e.g., crypsis)
- What impacts might a new understanding of species diversity have on conservation priorities?

Flowchart - delimitation framework



RADseq Consortium (in prep)



nature ecology & evolution

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-02547-w

2

Integrative taxonomy clarifies the evolution of a cryptic primate clade

Tobias van Else ^{0,146}, Gabriele M. Sgarlata ^{0,228}, Dominik Schüßler^{0,428}, George P. Tiley^{0,65}, Jelmer W. Poelstra ^{0,57}, Marina Scheumann ^{0,11}, Marina B. Blanco ^{0,6}, Isa G. Aleixo-Pais ^{0,8}, Mamy Rina Evasoa¹⁰, Jörg U. Garzhorn⁰, Steven M. Goddman ^{0,112}, Alida F. Hasiniaina ^{0,113}, Daniel Hending ^{0,11}, Paul A. Hohenlohe ^{0,16}, Mohamed T. Ibourol ^{0,246}, Amaia Iribar¹⁷, Fabien Jan², Peter M. Kappeler ^{0,118}, Barbara Le Pors², Sophie Manzl²⁷, Gillan Olivieri^{10,2}, Ando N. Rakotonanahary¹⁰, S. Jacques Rakotondranary ^{0,1}, Romule Rakotondravony^{20,2}, José M. Rallson²¹³, J. Freddy Ranalvoarisoa¹⁹, Blanchard Randrianambinina⁵²⁷, Rodin M. Rasoloarison¹⁸, Solofonirina Rasoloharijaona⁸, Emmanuel Rasolondraibe⁷, Helena Teixeture ^{0,11}, Jubez J. Zonavieto¹⁸, Edward E. Louis Jr.¹⁰, Ando N. Noder⁴, Louise Chikhl¹²²⁷, Ute Radespiel ⁰, ⁸ Jordi Salmona ^{0,11}





- Species diversity has been overestimated; we "deflate" taxonomy from 25 to 19 species (~ 25% reduction)
- Mouse lemur crypsis is best explained by a model of morphological stasis imposed by stabilizing selection along with a neutral process of niche diversification
- Phylogenetic diversification was likely facilitated by climatic fluctuations and a species-pump effect of humid forests during the **Pleistocene**

(Last month in Science)

RESEARCH

BIOGEOGRAPHY

Escarpment evolution drives the diversification of the Madagascar flora

Yi Liu^{1,2}*†, Yanyan Wang³*†, Sean D. Willett³, Niklaus E. Zimmermann^{1,2}‡, Loïc Pellissier^{1,2}‡

"Habitat isolation and reconnection on a million-year timescale serves as an allopatric speciation pump creating the observed biodiversity"





Model asserts that areas of endemism have been formed by watersheds and climatic fluctuations throughout Pleistocene to recent ...



Wilmé et al. (2006)

Has climate change been a driver of speciation?

Climate Fluctuation during the Pleistocene





Hominin species during Pleistocene

Lineage diversification within hominins

~ 2.6 mya through ~ 12 kya





















If this model is "correct" the age of the splits should be of the appropriate age (just for starters)

Divergence Time Estimation



A "speciation continuum"

Figure 6: Speciation Continuum of Focal Lineages. A) The radiation among *M. murinus* (SE), *M. ganzhorni*, and *M. manitatra* (Node 4) is estimated to coincide precisely with the Last Glacial Maximum at 26,000 years ago (illustrated with dashed line). B) Paleoclimatic temperatures for SE Madagascar based on simulations under the HadCM3 circulation model (84).



~ 1.2 mya

A "speciation continuum"

Figure 6: Speciation Continuum of Focal Lineages. A) The radiation among *M. murinus* (SE), *M. ganzhorni*, and *M. manitatra* (Node 4) is estimated to coincide precisely with the Last Glacial Maximum at 26,000 years ago (illustrated with dashed line). B) Paleoclimatic temperatures for SE Madagascar based on simulations under the HadCM3 circulation model (84).



~ 26K years ago (last glacial maximum)

A "speciation continuum"

Figure 6: Speciation Continuum of Focal Lineages. A) The radiation among *M. murinus* (SE), *M. ganzhorni*, and *M. manitatra* (Node 4) is estimated to coincide precisely with the Last Glacial Maximum at 26,000 years ago (illustrated with dashed line). B) Paleoclimatic temperatures for SE Madagascar based on simulations under the HadCM3 circulation model (84).

Microcebus murinus Microcebus bongolavensis Microcebus danfossi Microcebus ravelobensis Microcebus berthae Microcebus rufus Microcebus myoxinus Microcebus arnholdi Microcebus jollyae Microcebus gerpi Microcebus lehilahytsara Microcebus macarthurii Microcebus mamiratra Microcebus margotmarshae Microcebus marohita Microcebus mittermeieri Microcebus sambiranensis Microcebus simmonsi 10 mya 5 mya 2 mya Microcebus tanosi Microcebus tavaratra Pleistocene Miocene Pliocene

"The anomaly zone" where gene trees are more likely to be recovered than the species tree



8

Phylogenetic resolution is highly sensitive to sample of chosen loci in concatenated phylogenetic analysis (in mouse lemurs)



Dave Weisrock



Weisrock et al. (2012), MBE

SYSTEMATIC BIOLOGY

VOL. 67


No fossil record!!!



AKA, Coalescent Theory ...



AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACGACACTAGCCGGGTACATCGATCACGCATGCGACT AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACGACACTAGCCGGGTTCATCGATCACGCATGCGACT AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACGACACTAGCCGGGTACATCGATCACGCATGCGACT AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACGACACTAGCCGGGTACATCGATCACGCATGCGACT AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACGACACTAGCCGGGTACATCGATCACGCATGCGACT AGCACTGACCGCGGATGCTACGATCGACATCGACATCGACCATGCGGGTACATCGATCACGCATGCGACT



Animation courtesy of Kelsie Hunnicutt

Coalescent Approach ("it's the gene trees, stupid")

Figure 8. Strategies and principles of the coalescence phylogeny method for constructing phylogenetic trees.



The MSC is increasingly used for:

- Species delimitation
- Reconstructing historical demography (e.g., ancestral population size)
- Patterns of gene flow among and between phylogenetic lineages
- Phylogeny reconstruction
- Divergence time estimation

Thank you, Peter, Laura, and Claudia!

In no particular order ...

- The coalescent is a powerful tool for understanding historical demography (e.g., ancestral Ne and divergence times)
- Branch lengths can have an enormous impact on recovering the species tree from gene trees
- Rapid radiations with short internal branches can really F* U up!
- Ancestral population size (the size of "the box") can have a huge impact on coalescent rates
- Assumed mutation rate can have HUGE impact on estimation of Ne
- Increasingly clear that concatenation is inferior to MSC for estimating species tree
- Theoretically, coalescent times should be as old or older than speciation
 events

So how old IS the mouse lemur clade???

About People Publications

dos Reis Lab

How to calibrate coalescent trees to geological time

Jul 3, 2016 • Mario dos Reis

Simple overview:

By using estimates of **mutation rate** and **generation time** we can calculate absolute time of divergence (without fossil calibrations!)



ars.

dos Reis Lab

About People Publications

How to calibrate coalescent trees to geological time

Jul 3, 2016 • Mario dos Reis

There has been much interest recently in using the multi-species coalescent to estimate species phylogenies from molecular data. The advantage of the method is that incomplete lineage sorting (the discrepancy between gene trees and the species tree), and ancestral polymorphism are accounted for during phylogenetic inference. Bayesian implementations of the method are computationally expensive, and are best suited for inference among closely related species (or populations).

The figure below shows an example of a phylogeny of mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) estimated from RADseq (restriction site associated DNA sequencing) data using the program BPP (Yang, 2015), which implements the multi-species coalescent. Each node in the tree represents a speciation event, with the node ages given as numbers of substitutions per site. The blue bars represent the 95% credibility interval of the node age. For example, the molecular distance from the last common ancestor of *M. rufus* and *M. berthae* to the present is 1.29×10^{-4} substitutions per site. If we knew the molecular substitution rate per year for mouse lemurs, we could calibrate the tree to geological time, that is, we could convert the node ages from units of substitution per site to units of real time. I'll explain how to do so in this post.

https://dosreislab.github.io/2016/07/03/coalescent-calibration.html

Using pedigrees to estimate de novo mutation rates



Problems (just a few):

- Depth of coverage (some mutations will be called with more confidence than others)
- Genome assembly really, really matters (need long contigs to avoid, as much as possible, missing or misassembled regions due to highly repetitive regions)
- The number of "mutations" caused by sequencing error will VASTLY exceed biological mutations (replication errors)
- Ironically then, due to extreme filtering stringency the false negative rate can be just as error prone (if not more so) than the false positive rate



And you are looking for *maybe* 100 mutations in a single generation!



Even with sequencing accuracy of 99.999% YOU will have ~ 28,000 errors in 2.8 Gb genome ...

Working towards best practices: standardize methods so that results are comparable!







TOOLS AND RESOURCES



The Mutationathon highlights the importance of reaching standardization in estimates of pedigree-based germline mutation rates

Lucie A Bergeron¹*, Søren Besenbacher², Tychele Turner³, Cyril J Versoza⁴, Richard J Wang⁵, Alivia Lee Price¹, Ellie Armstrong⁶, Meritxell Riera⁷, Jedidiah Carlson⁸, Hwei-yen Chen¹, Matthew W Hahn⁵, Kelley Harris⁸, April Snøfrid Kleppe², Elora H López-Nandam⁹, Priya Moorjani¹⁰, Susanne P Pfeifer¹¹, George P Tiley¹², Anne D Yoder¹², Guojie Zhang¹, Mikkel H Schierup⁷*



"We report **almost a two-fold variation** in the final estimated rate among groups using different post-alignment processing, calling, and filtering criteria and provide details into the sources of variation across studies."

Estimating the de novo mutation rate for the gray mouse lemur (Microcebus murinus)

genetics society

www.nature.com/hdy

Check for updates

ARTICLE

Pedigree-based and phylogenetic methods support surprising patterns of mutation rate and spectrum in the gray mouse lemur

C. Ryan Campbell^{1,2,8}, George P. Tiley ^{[0,1,8}, Jelmer W. Poelstra¹, Kelsie E. Hunnicutt ^{[0,1,6}, Peter A. Larsen^{1,7}, Hui-Jie Lee ^{[0,3}, Jeffrey L. Thome⁴, Mario dos Reis ^{[0,5} and Anne D. Yoder^{1⊠}

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Genetics Society 2021



C. Ryan Campbell

Low CpG rates



Fig. 4 Context-dependent relaxed-clock analysis shows low rates of C>T substitution rates at CpG sites in the gray mouse lemur. C>T substitution-rate estimates at non-CpG versus CpG sites are compared for six species of primate, including the gray mouse lemur (M. murinus). Note that with the exception of M. murinus, all primates examined show significantly higher CpG rates than non-CpG rates. The C>T substitution rates at non-CpG sites are nearly identical in M. murinus. Error bars represent 95% highest posterior densities.

geneticssociety

www.nature.com/hdy

() Check for updates

ARTICLE

Pedigree-based and phylogenetic methods support surprising patterns of mutation rate and spectrum in the gray mouse lemur

C. Ryan Campbell^{1,2,8}, George P. Tiley[®],¹⁸, Jelmer W. Poelstra¹, Kelsie E. Hunnicutt[®], Peter A. Larsen^{1,7}, Hui-Jie Lee[®], Jeffrey L. Thorne⁴, Mario dos Reis[®] and Anne D. Yoder¹⁸

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to The Genetics Society 2021



Fig.5 Difference between mutation and substitution rates among primates. Error bars around substitution rates are 95% highest posterior density intervals from a Bayesian relaxed-clock analysis. Credible intervals are given for mutation rates where available from published data. Substitution rates are scaled from per-year to per-generation based on the average parent (parental) age at the time of conception, except for *C. sobceus* where data were not available and the generation time assumed from external information. Where age information on parents was available, substitution rates were also scaled by the average father (paternal) age. Data are given in Table 53.



 We estimated the mutation rate to be among the highest calculated for a mammal at 1.52 Å~ 10–8 (95% credible interval: 1.28 Å~ 10–8–1.78 Å~ 10–8) mutations/site/generation. Further, we found an unexpectedly low count of paternal mutations, and only a modest overrepresentation of mutations at CpG sites.





Browse Publish About

🔓 OPEN ACCESS 👂 PEER-REVIEWED

DISCOVERY REPORT

Unprecedented female mutation bias in the aye-aye, a highly unusual lemur from Madagascar

Richard J. Wang, Yadira Peña-García, Muthuswamy Raveendran, R. Alan Harris, Thuy-Trang Nguyen, Marie-Claude Gingras, Yifan Wu, Lesette Perez, Anne D. Yoder, Joe H. Simmons, Jeffrey Rogers, Matthew W. Hahn @

Version 2

Published: February 7, 2025 • https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3003015



Complexities of calculating generation times (a few) ...

Estimating generation times:

Key parameters

- Age at onset of first offspring to last reproductive event
- Number of offspring produced from first to last reproduction
- Probability of surviving to age of last reproduction

Stable, high-quality environments

- Low mortality (oldest old ~ 8 years)
- Reproductively mature at age = 1 year
- Only one litter per year (two – three offspring)



Low-quality, unpredictable environments

- High mortality (oldest old ~ 3 years)
- Breed very early (< four months)
- Multiple litters per year (i.e., overlapping generations within a single year)



Trends in Genetics

CelPress REVIEWS

Review

Molecular Clocks without Rocks: New Solutions for Old Problems

George P. Tiley ^{(D),1} Jelmer W. Poelstra,¹ Mario dos Reis,² Ziheng Yang ^{(D),3} and Anne D. Yoder^{1,*}





But why???



Comparison of Chromosome 8



Break, anyone?

We've talked about patterns, how about <u>process</u>???

SPECIATION!!!!



Figure 1: Model of evolving RI in allopatric speciation. A) Ancestral lineage has compatible phenotypic and genomic traits allowing for uninhibited reproductive interactions. B) After lineages split and become geographically isolated, these traits can experience divergent character evolution due to drift and/or selection. C) In situations of secondary contact, phenotypic divergence can lead to prezygotic RI while genomic divergence can lead to postzygotic RI.

An expanded view of the DMI model

Ancestral Lineage





Secondary Contact



Mouse lemur speciation project (ongoing!)

Combination of microsatellite data, subtle morphological distinctions, and parasite loads have suggested that there is an active hybrid zone in the southeast



Hybridization between mouse lemurs in an ecological transition zone in southern Madagascar

M. GLIGOR,* J. U. GANZHORN,† D. RAKOTONDRAVONY,‡O. R. RAMILIJAONA,‡ E. RAZAFIMAHATRATRA.† H. ZISCHLER* and A. HAPKE*

Gligor et al. 2009, Mol Ecol

Hybridization of mouse lemurs: different patterns under different ecological conditions

Andreas Hapke^{1*}, Mark Gligor^{1,2}, S Jacques Rakotondranary³, David Rosenkranz¹ and Oliver Zupke^{1,4}

Hapke et al. 2011, BMC Ev Biol



Jelmer Poelstra

Jörg Ganzhorn







- 80 individuals from two contact zone sites
- 20 individuals from nearby microallopatric sites
- 38 individuals from distant allopatric sites

 3 outgroup individuals (M. rufus)

Poelstra et al. (2022)

Both ADMIXTURE and PCA indicate that putative hybrids are pure parental species



Poelstra et al. (2022)


PROCEEDINGS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb





Cite this article: Poelstra JW, Montero BK, Lüdemann J, Yang Z, Rakotondranary SJ, Hohenlohe P, Stetter N, Ganzhorn JU, Yoder AD. 2022 RADseq data reveal a lack of admixture in a mouse lemur contact zone contrary to previous microsatellite results. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **289**: 20220596. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2022.0596 RADseq data reveal a lack of admixture in a mouse lemur contact zone contrary to previous microsatellite results

Jelmer W. Poelstra^{1,2}, B. Karina Montero³, Jan Lüdemann³, Ziheng Yang⁴, S. Jacques Rakotondranary^{3,5}, Paul Hohenlohe⁶, Nadine Stetter^{3,7}, Jörg U. Ganzhorn^{3,†} and Anne D. Yoder^{1,†}

¹Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA
 ²Molecular and Cellular Imaging Center, Ohio State University, Wooster, OH 44691, USA
 ³Institute of Zoology, Department of Animal Ecology and Conservation, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, 20146, Germany
 ⁴Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, UK
 ⁵Anthropobiologie et Développement Durable, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Antananarivo, PO Box 906, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar
 ⁶Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

⁷Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, 20359 Hamburg, Germany

2022



Five (possibly six) diverging lineages in the southeast --occurring in patterns of both allopatry and (near?) sympatry



Figure 2 – The Microcebus spp. of Southeast Madagascar. Figure illustrates cryptic morphology of diverged lineages currently recognized as named species. A) M. manitatra, B) M. tanosi, C) M. ganzhorni, D) M. tanosi (pale variant), E) M. griseorufus, F) Microcebus sp. (pending DNA barcode). Photographs by Sam Hyde Roberts. See Figure 3 for geographic distribution and habitat preferences of named species.



Hannah Kania (genomics; TE annotation)









Carolina Segami (speciation genomics)



Sam Hyde Roberts (field methods; conservation)





Five (possibly six) diverging lineages in the southeast --occurring in patterns of both allopatry and (near?) sympatry



Figure 2 – The *Microcebus spp.* of Southeast Madagascar. Figure illustrates cryptic morphology of diverged lineages currently recognized as named species. A) *M. manitatra*, B) *M. tanosi*, C) *M. ganzhorni*, D) *M. tanosi* (pale variant), E) *M. griseorufus*, F) *Microcebus* sp. (pending DNA barcode). Photographs by Sam Hyde Roberts. See Figure 3 for geographic distribution and habitat preferences of named species.















A "speciation continuum"

Figure 6: Speciation Continuum of Focal Lineages. A) The radiation among *M. murinus* (SE), *M. ganzhorni*, and *M. manitatra* (Node 4) is estimated to coincide precisely with the Last Glacial Maximum at 26,000 years ago (illustrated with dashed line). B) Paleoclimatic temperatures for SE Madagascar based on simulations under the HadCM3 circulation model (84).





Proposed Aims:

 Develop Multispecies Coalescent (MSC) methods to model gene flow among diverging lineages.
 1.3 Explore the sensitivity of BPP/MSC to recombination rates.

2) Investigate phenotypic mechanisms of prezygotic RI:

- 2.2 Test for conspecific mate choice
- Acoustic communication
- Semiochemical communication



3) Identify genomic signatures of postzygotic RI

3.1 Generate chromosome-level reference genomes for each named lineage

3.2 Whole genome resequencing across contact zones

3.3 Characterize the recombination landscape for named lineages 3.4 Employ MSC methods developed in Aim 1 to measure frequency, magnitude, timing, and direction of gene flow among lineages.

Prezygotic Isolation:

- Wrong place, wrong time? (ecological preferences)
- Different metabolic regimes? (topor vs. not torpor)
- They're just not into each other? (chemosensory; auditory)



Madagascar Expedition 2023

(and 2024, and 2025 ...)

Slide courtesy of Sam Hyde Roberts



Prezygotic Isolation:

- Wrong place, wrong time? (ecological preferences)
- Different metabolic regimes? (topor vs. not torpor)
- They're just not into each other? (chemosensory; auditory)

Male advertisement calls for three species



Lineage-specific acoustic signatures

Braune et al., 2008







Prezygotic Isolation:

- Wrong place, wrong time? (ecological preferences)
- Different metabolic regimes? (topor vs. not torpor)
- They're just not into each other? (chemosensory; auditory)



- 56 animals (two species) were handcaptured and fitted with ATS M1420 radio collar transmitters
- Animal follows 3X/week for 12 hours (dusk > dawn)
- TOTAL = 2,464 hours of observation

LEGEND

- M.griseorufus FEMALE
 M.griseorufus MALE
 M.murinus FEMALE
 M.murinus MALE
- Each polygon represents total data for one animal
- Blue = M. murinus; Tan = M. griseorufus
- Light colors = females; dark = males



Extensive overlap between the two species in wetter habitats





Male home ranges are HUGE



Female home ranges MUCH smaller





250

500

750

1,000 m

TSIMELAHY



Much less overlap between the two species in dry habitats





Male home ranges are larger than females' (again)





Female home ranges much smaller (TINY)





PREDATION



Prezygotic Isolation:

- Wrong place, wrong time? (ecological preferences)
- Different metabolic regimes? (topor vs. not torpor)
- They're just not into each other? (chemosensory; auditory)



Can undergo a "**metabolic switch**" that leads to fattening and prolonged torpor/hibernation ... seems to be highly flexible by species and environment.












Ambient temperature in blue; *M. murinus* in green; *M. griseorufus* in red tracked over 28 days



• M. griseorufus:

- More slender/no tail fattening
- Tend to be solitary/sleep alone
- Found on smaller more delicate trees, typically with thorny antipredator bracts
- Sleep "in the open" on small branches
- (Apparently) does not torpor
- Have larger home ranges/more exploratory (even found underground!)



• M. murinus:

- Robust/tail fattening
- More gregarious (sleeps in groups)
- Found in taller & larger trees with deep thermally protective holes
- Experience seasonal torpor
- Bolder/more aggressive
- Ecological generalist --- comfortable in degraded habitat

<u>Bottom line</u>: the two species are living right on top of each other but are living completely different lives!

<u>COMPLETE</u> RI IN < 800 KY (we think)

Sampling Process

- Morphometric measurements
- Ear biopsy (x1 or x2)
- 300 ul of blood from saphenous vein.









Postzygotic Isolation:

- Different karyotypes? (lemurs have crazy chromosomes!)
- Different recombination landscape/genomic architecture?
- Barrier loci???

Mouse lemur karyotype (male)

a ъ Y 2.0

Human karyotype (male)



Mouse lemur karyotype (male)

ą 2.8 Gb Y

Human karyotype (male)





Dutrillaux (1979) Human Genetics



66 chromosomes (acrocentric, except for X)

Beth Sullivan





Circos Plot

http://circos.ca

(note: synteny was determined bioinformatically, not with chromosome painting)

Larsen PA et al. <u>2017</u>. BMC Biol **15**: 110.

Chromosome #15 in mouse lemur appears to have near perfect homology with human chromosome #10 (in yellow)

Larsen PA et al. 2017. BMC Biol 15: 110.

12

31 32

X

Chromosome #7 in mouse lemur appears to have homology with human chromosome #12 (in green) AND with human chromosome #22 (in brown)

12

Larsen PA et al. 2017. BMC Biol 15: 110.



Microchromosomes in mouse lemur (in grey) appear to be all over the place!

Larsen PA et al. 2017. BMC Biol 15: 110.

Telomere-to-Telomere (T2T)





Evan Eichler University of Washington

T2T genomes

DLC pedigrees

• Recombination map / mutation rates

Wild genomes

- Better quality reference genomes population resequencing
- Structural variation (gene copy variation, inversions, translocations, insertions, deletions, etc.)
- TE composition and possible role in speciation









Early Preliminary Results

- Blood, skin biopsy, urine, and feces collected from 100 individuals sampled evenly from all five phylogenetic lineages!!!
- 30X PacBio HiFi from 200 ul. of blood!
- Second set of historical samples sequenced (short reads) at 10X coverage --- AGAIN, no hybrids detected!
- Transposable element mapping is showing crazy variation across lineages!



Cell cultures – Somarelli lab



Fibroblast cell cultures of mouse and mouse lemurs under simulated field conditions

We tested protocols on mouse tissue before mouse lemur tissue.





Day 3





Day 5



(a) Mouse lemur tissue previously frozen in 10% DMSO freezing medium (b) Mouse lemur tissue previously frozen in Cryostor medium.

Keys to make it work in the field





Cells finally started growing after > 3 weeks!

M. ganzhorni





HiC contact map

HiFi reads from ~300ul of blood HiC reads from Ear tissue

M. ganzhorni



HiC reads from Ear tissue

Ganz Top 1000 Alignments per Scaff



Hannah Kania (genomics; TE annotation)



THANK YOU, MADAGASCAR!!!


















Thanks to The Village!

RADseq Consortium:

- Lounes Chikhi
- Paul Hohenlohe
- Ed Louis
- Ute Radespiel
- Jordi Salmona
- Elke Zimmerman

Yoder Lab (past & present):

- Caro Segami
- Sam Hyde Roberts
- Hannah Kania
- Jelmer Poelstra
- George Tiley
- Rachel Williams

Field collaborators:

- Jean B. Andriambeloson
- Marina Blanco
- Jörg Ganzhorn
- José M. Ralison
- Blanchard Randrianambinina
- Rodin M. Rasoloarison
- David W. Rasolofoson
- Jonah Ratsimbazafy



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation



